DISMISSAL FOR ALLEGED MISCONDUCT

This checklist has been prepared having regard to the CCMA Arbitration Guidelines, the Code of Good Practice: Dismissal and relevant case law

NB: The law does NOT require that each and every factor set out below apply in all cases. The law encourages the minimum of legal formality. This checklist is merely a guide and should be used with care and flexibility.

GLOSSARY: DH (Disciplinary hearing), EE (employee), ER (employer), AG (CCMA Arbitration Guidelines), Code (Code of Good Practice: Dismissal), DC (disciplinary code), Sidumo (Sidumo & Ano v Rustenburg Platinum Mines Ltd, CC) and Edcon (Edcon v Pillemer NO, SCA)

PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS

Was a notice of DH given? Was the notice in a language that EE can reasonably understand? AG61.1 Did it alert EE to charges he faced? Was the DH commenced promptly and without delay after the alleged misconduct? Was EE given a reasonable time to prepare for DH? AG61.2 Was EE allowed to be represented by a colleague or shop steward? AG61.3 AG71 Is EE a union official or shop steward and if so was his union informed of steps taken against him? Code 4(2) Was EE allowed to listen to evidence of ER's witnesses? Was EE allowed to $\,^{8}$ cross-examine or challenge evidence of ER's witnesses? Was EE allowed to give evidence in his own defence? Was EE allowed to call witnesses in support of his case? AG61.4 Was EE allowed to lead evidence in mitigation of sanction? Was an interpreter provided on request? Was the chair of the DH impartial and unbiased? Was EE given a letter informing him of reason for dismissal? Code 4(3) AG61.5 Was EE informed of his right to appeal if any? 2 If procedural unfairness is alleged, what was

there actual prejudice suffered from the alleged

procedural lapses and was it material? AG64

AG70 Where procedure has been dispensed

with, is this a crisis zone case where ER acted

to protect lives and property? AG62-63 AG74

SUBSTANTIVE FAIRNESS

- his authority? (Sidumo). Does EE work in a team? If so, what are the team-members' names, job titles, roles & responsibilities? Was the rule / standard / procedure breached? Did EE **follow** the prescribed procedure? AG87 13 Did the EE's act / omission actually cause or risk loss, damage, harm or prejudice to persons or property? AG98 (Sidumo) Did ee obtain any undue benefit? (In case of drunkenness: what physical signs did EE display & was he able to perform his tasks?). What is EE's defence? Did EE commit the misconduct deliberately, negligently or in error? Was the conduct lawful ie did he act in self-defence or was he provoked? AG108. Did 16 EE do anything or omit to do anything that has possibly been misinterpreted or misunderstood by ER? If so, what was that act or omission on the part of EE? AG88. Is the misconduct due to EE's mental or physical incapacity? If so, would an incapacity inquiry not have been appropriate?
- Is it EE's case that the charges have been fabricated? If so, what is, in EE's view, ER's reason for doing so? (eg ulterior motive, revenge or malice)
- Has the rule/standard/procedure been applied consistently? If not, what has ER done in the past? Who has been treated differently? Has ER justified difference? Should such EE have been dismissed? Has consistency resulted in the setting of a bad precedent? Has ER recently changed its approach to this type of misconduct? If so, were EEs informed of the new approach? Date when informed? AG77.2.3 Code 7(b)(iii) AG100-103 Factors to determine consistency: gravity of misconduct; EE's circumstances (including length of service, previous disciplinary record, personal circumstances); nature of the job; circumstances of the misconduct itself. Code 3(3).

NEGLIGENCE BY SKILLED EE

- Is there a rule/standard/procedure in the workplace regulating the conduct? Define it. Code 7(a) AG80 Is it new or old? (Some rules or standards may be so well established and known that it is not necessary to communicate them.) What is the **importance** or **utility** (use) of the rule / standard / procedure? Was EE inducted on these aspects? (Sidumo) Is this rule / standard / procedure reasonable or generally accepted as reasonable in that specific industry? Is it valid? AG89-91 AG77.2.1 SANCTION & REMEDIES Code 3(1); 7(b)
- / standard / procedure? AG77.2.2 AG84 Code 7(b)(ii) Has EE applied the standard/procedure in the past properly or with difficulty? Did ER take steps to make EEs aware that a breach is a dismissible offence? Alternatively, ought EE to have been reasonably aware that breach would lead to dismissal? (Sidumo)
- What is EE's job title? What are the nature and 12 responsibilities of job? What are the limits of

Is the EE skilled OR required to exercise high degree of care? Has he been trained? What would be consequence of lack of care? Would reasonable EE have foreseen that harm (or potential harm) could be caused to person/property? Would reasonable EE have taken steps to prevent it? Gross negligence is conscious, voluntary or total disregard of reasonable care with serious or disastrous consequences or the risk thereof.

- Was EE aware or reasonably aware of the rule 10 SANCTION: Is this considered to be serious misconduct? Why? AG96
 - Is dismissal a fair sanction? AG77.2.4 AG93 Code 7(b)(iv). Does the DC prescribe a procedure or sanction that is more severe than that generally accepted? Why? Is there room for progressive or corrective discipline in this case? Code3(2)&(3) (Sidumo)
 - What are the aggravating factors? AG77.2.4 AG93-99 Code 3(3)-(5) Is EE not admitting to a

- blatant contravention? What are the mitigating factors? AG98 Did EE plead guilty, show remorse, or was willing to submit to a lesser sanction?
- Is this a case of repeat misconduct? AG97 AG99 Code3(3)&(4) What is EE's disciplinary record? Code 5. What is the effect of setting a precedent? (Sidumo). Is the ER relying on a final written warning for a similar offence?
- 14 Does EE have long service or is about to retire? What is the implication of either? (Sidumo) AG106
- 15 What impact would dismissal have on EE personally and his family? AG106 & Sidumo
- Did the alleged misconduct lead to a breakdown of trust that was serious enough to warrant dismissal? How? (Edcon) Was EE dishonest during the DH and the arbitration? AG114. In case of negligence can EE be trusted to perform properly and with the required degree of care?
- 17 REINSTATEMENT / RE-**EMPLOYMENT:** Has the ER shown that the nature of the job makes the employment relationship intolerable? AG107 eg dismissal for first instance of negligence by airline pilot.
- 18 AG111-129 Is reinstatement or re-employment reasonably practicable or feasible? Is there evidence of co-EEs or manager that there is no prospect of good working relationship being restored? How has EE conducted himself after the dismissal, including the DH or arbitration proceedings?
- 19 Would reinstatement cause a disproportionate level of disruption or financial burden to ER? Has another EE been appointed in place of the applicant, even though this is not an obstacle to reinstating a deserving EE? If reinstatement is not reasonably practicable, is re-employment a fair outcome? Is there such post and what is the attached remuneration? Has ER shown that reinstatement or re-employment should not be from the date of the dismissal?
- 20 COMPENSATION: Factors when awarding compensation for substantive unfairness: EE's remuneration and benefits at the time of dismissal; time lapse since dismissal; whether EE has secured alternative employment and if so date thereof and rate of remuneration; whether EE has taken steps to mitigate his losses by finding alternative employment; financial loss suffered by EE: EE's prospects of future employment eg age, experience, education, qualifications and availability of suitable job opportunities; whether EE failed to state a case at DH; whether resolution of dispute was unreasonably delayed and if so who caused the delay; whether there was a condonation for late referral; whether dismissal was both substantively and procedurally unfair; whether EE received any payments from ER over and above that required by law, any collective agreement or contract; whether EE unreasonably refused an offer of reinstatement made in good faith; whether actions of EE led to loss or damage to ER; ER's financial position. Factors when awarding compensation for procedural unfairness ONLY, consider whether the lapse was minor or serious and whether it caused prejudice. AG130-136.